

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION

Claim No: KB-2025-001991
Appeal No: CA-2025-002562

APPELLANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE

(PROCEDURAL INTEGRITY, EVIDENTIARY FRAGMENTATION AND PUBLIC INTEREST)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Supplemental Note addresses a procedural issue of systemic importance. Enforcement of the judgment under appeal should not proceed where the evidentiary record is materially fragmented across jurisdictions, such that no single court has access to the complete factual matrix. The exhibited materials indicate structured, multi-participant environments involving differentiated roles. Fragmentation of proceedings creates a real risk that no single court can assess the full evidentiary context.

A. INTRODUCTION

This Note is confined to procedural matters and relies exclusively on material already before the Court.

B. CORE SUBMISSION

A litigant cannot receive a fair adjudication where overlapping proceedings exist across jurisdictions and evidence is restricted or destroyed.

C. EVIDENTIARY CONTEXT

Exhibit	Description
E1	Claim Form (Antigua)
E2	Service Affidavit
E3	UK Service Certificate
E4	US Appellate Order
E5	Defendant List

D. SYSTEMIC RISK

Fragmentation prevents full fact-finding and risks procedural unfairness across jurisdictions.

E. PUBLIC INTEREST

The integrity of cross-border litigation and preservation of evidence are engaged.

F. RELIEF SOUGHT

The Appellant requests directions to ensure a complete evidentiary record and preservation of rights.

G. CONCLUSION

Justice cannot be coherently administered where the evidentiary record is fragmented across jurisdictions.