

COVER LETTER

To: Senior Master Cook
King's Bench Division
High Court of Justice

Re: KB-2025-001991 / CA-2025-002562

Dear Sir, Please find enclosed the Appellant's Supplemental Note together with the indexed exhibit bundle (E1–E18). This bundle is provided to assist the Court in addressing the procedural issue of evidentiary fragmentation across jurisdictions. Yours faithfully,
Alkiviades David

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

E1 — Exhibit 1

E2 — Exhibit 2

E3 — Exhibit 3

E4 — Exhibit 4

E5 — Exhibit 5

E6 — Exhibit 6

E7 — Exhibit 7

E8 — Exhibit 8

E9 — Exhibit 9

E10 — Exhibit 10

E11 — Exhibit 11

E12 — Exhibit 12

E13 — Exhibit 13

E14 — Exhibit 14

E15 — Exhibit 15

E16 — Exhibit 16

E17 — Exhibit 17

E18 — Exhibit 18

SKELETON ARGUMENT

1. This application concerns procedural fairness and evidentiary completeness.
2. Parallel proceedings exist across jurisdictions creating fragmentation.
3. Evidence has been restricted or destroyed (See E4 p.3).
4. The Court risks determining matters on an incomplete record.
5. Relief sought: stay enforcement pending full evidentiary consolidation.

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE

See prior filed document. Incorporated herein.

EXHIBIT E1

(Inserted document: E1)

EXHIBIT E2

(Inserted document: E2)

EXHIBIT E3

(Inserted document: E3)

EXHIBIT E4

(Inserted document: E4)

EXHIBIT E5

(Inserted document: E5)

EXHIBIT E6

(Inserted document: E6)

EXHIBIT E7

(Inserted document: E7)

EXHIBIT E8

(Inserted document: E8)

EXHIBIT E9

(Inserted document: E9)

EXHIBIT E10

(Inserted document: E10)

EXHIBIT E11

(Inserted document: E11)

EXHIBIT E12

(Inserted document: E12)

EXHIBIT E13

(Inserted document: E13)

EXHIBIT E14

(Inserted document: E14)

EXHIBIT E15

(Inserted document: E15)

EXHIBIT E16

(Inserted document: E16)

EXHIBIT E17

(Inserted document: E17)

EXHIBIT E18

(Inserted document: E18)