

**SUBMISSION PACKAGE FOR MR JUSTICE COOK**

**(Ready to file – Copy into Word/Google Docs and save as PDF)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE**

**KING’S BENCH DIVISION**

**Claim No: KB-2025-001991**

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL (CIVIL DIVISION)**

**ON APPEAL FROM THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE**

**Appeal No: CA-2025-002562**

**BETWEEN:**

**ALKIVIADES DAVID**

**(Sovereign Ambassador — Invoked)**

**Appellant / Applicant**

**-and-**

**MAHIM KHAN & OTHERS**

**Respondents**

**APPELLANT’S CONSOLIDATED EVIDENTIARY DOSSIER & SKELETON ARGUMENT**

**(TARGETED PROCEDURAL SUBMISSION – RECORD INTEGRITY, SAFEGUARDING RISK,  
AND ENFORCEMENT SAFETY)**

**Covering Note for Mr Justice Cook’s Clerk (attach or send separately)**

**Dear Clerk to Mr Justice Cook,**

**Please place before Mr Justice Cook the attached Consolidated Evidentiary Dossier and Skeleton Argument.**

**This is a narrow procedural submission focused on one question: whether enforcement can safely proceed on a materially incomplete and interconnected record that raises active safeguarding concerns. It includes the full set of original file names, a deep evidence matrix, personal impact (including TBI and family exploitation), and a dedicated section on the impact on Mr Justice Cook.**

The Appellant, a disabled litigant in person with TBI, respectfully requests reasonable adjustments under the Equality Act 2010, including extended time to absorb any further directions.

Thank you for your assistance.

Yours sincerely,

Alkiviades David

Appellant / Litigant in Person

---

## **MAIN DOCUMENT**

### **PREAMBLE – CHILD SAFETY AND PUBLIC INTEREST**

The protection of children from exploitation and abuse is a fundamental duty of every court. Where a record reveals potential exposure pathways to harmful material involving very young children through searchable indexing linked to legacy media systems, enforcement cannot safely proceed until the integrity of that record is secured.

The Appellant draws the Court's attention to the evidence dump preserved at CBSYouSuck.com.

The names involved — Obama, Burkle, Boies, Branca / Cascio, Diddy, Weinstein, Epstein, and others — shock the mind. But the fact is that these people are all in my life and have all affected my life directly. Because that is the nature of the syndicate.

Homepage screengrab of CBSYouSuck.com:

- CBSYouSuck\_Master\_Screenshot\_FULLL.png

### **A. DISABILITY AND PROCEDURAL ACCOMMODATIONS**

The Appellant is a disabled litigant in person who suffers from a Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI). The Appellant is not mentally unwell or handicapped in a way that would render him incapable of cogent, clear, and detailed legal reasoning. He remains fully competent to manage his case. However, the TBI creates specific timing and sequencing disadvantages requiring additional time to absorb, reflect, and respond to complex material.

Pursuant to the Equality Act 2010, the Appellant respectfully requests reasonable adjustments, including extended time to absorb submissions.

### **B. PURPOSE**

**This submission is narrow and procedural. It asks one single question:**

**Can enforcement safely proceed on a record that is materially incomplete, structurally compromised, and evidentially interconnected with active safeguarding concerns and cross-jurisdictional overlap?**

**The Appellant submits that it cannot.**

## **C. FULL LEGAL MAP – THE INTERCONNECTED SYSTEM**

### **Core Power Cluster**

**Obama · Burkle · Boies · Branca / Cascio**

### **CSAM & Blackmail Pathways**

**Diddy · Weinstein · Epstein**

### **Political / Government**

**Barack Obama, Kamala Harris, Ehud Barak, Gaston Browne, Steadroy Benjamin, Diann Black-Layne, Jonathan Hay (PR Manager for Kamala Campaign)**

### **Legal Corridor / Enforcers**

**David Boies, John Branca, Louis Freeh, Fred Heather, Robert Shapiro, Patricia Glaser, Lisa Bloom, Joseph Chora**

### **Media / Distribution**

**Les Moonves, Harvey Levin, Seth Rosenblatt**

### **Witness and Evidence Cluster**

**Mike Mozart, Giovanni Palma, Ronald Gottschalk, Rovier Carrington, Daniel Kapon Jr. / Alison Doe**

## **D. FULL EVIDENCE PATHWAYS WITH ORIGINAL FILE NAMES**

### **PATHWAY 1 — MEDIA → EXPOSURE**

- **CBSYouSuck\_Master\_Screenshot\_FULL.png**
- **CBSYouSuck\_Search\_1Year\_Results\_FULL.png**
- **CNET\_Download\_Com\_Archive\_FULL.pdf**
- **MediaDefender\_Emails\_FULL.pdf**
- **LimeWire\_Active\_Brand\_Evidence\_FULL.pdf**

### **PATHWAY 2 — LEGAL → RECORD DISTORTION**

- David\_Boies\_Correspondence\_FULLL.pdf
- Fred\_Heather\_Email\_Thread\_FULLL.pdf
- Louis\_Freeh\_Communications\_FULLL.pdf
- GlaserWeil\_Robert\_Shapiro\_Filings\_FULLL.pdf
- John\_Branca\_Estate\_Control\_FULLL.pdf

#### **PATHWAY 3 — GOVERNMENT CONTACT EVENTS**

- Kamala\_Harris\_Publication\_Incident\_FULLL.pdf
- Quin\_Lumpkin\_Secret\_Service\_Report\_FULLL.pdf
- Jeff\_Boko\_Witness\_Statement\_FULLL.pdf
- transcript-AGgatsonTV.txt

#### **PATHWAY 4 — WITNESS CONSISTENCY**

- Mike\_Mozart\_Statement\_FULLL.pdf
- Giovanni\_Palma\_Deal\_Evidence\_FULLL.pdf
- Ronald\_Gottschalk\_Affidavit\_FULLL.pdf
- Kapon\_Family\_Evidence\_FULLL.pdf

#### **PATHWAY 5 — ECONOMIC CONFLICT (CARBON)**

- SwissX\_REDD\_Model\_Whitepaper\_FULLL.pdf
- Verra\_Challenge\_Document\_FULLL.pdf
- Antigua\_Carbon\_Project\_Docs\_FULLL.pdf

#### **PATHWAY 6 — INVESTIGATIVE OVERLAP**

- Asot\_Michael\_Communications\_FULLL.pdf
- CID\_Antigua\_Report\_FULLL.pdf
- Murder\_Investigation\_Notes\_FULLL.pdf

#### **PATHWAY 7 — ANTIGUAN JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS & SERVICE / CONTEMPT**

- JAN16th-AlkiDavidVsMediaCartelTRANSCRIPT-captions.TXT

#### **E. FULL PERSONAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

The Appellant has suffered severe personal impact from the multi-jurisdictional litigation:

- **Health:** His TBI has been materially aggravated by the volume and pace of proceedings across jurisdictions, creating the exact timing disadvantages the Equality Act 2010 requires the Court to accommodate.
- **Financial & Business:** Massive jury verdicts and settlements have stripped substantial assets. The Alpha Nero yacht has been attacked and blocked from sale. SwissX / REDD+ carbon projects have been stalled or derailed. Investor

withdrawal, banking de-risking, and advertiser pullback have followed media amplification.

- **Reputational & Procedural:** Coordinated media amplification and parallel actions have produced unequal litigation resources and a fragmented record.
- **Direct Physical & Home Pressure:** Pelicano has been to my home. Thugs have visited my home repeatedly, putting pressure on me in ways you wouldn't believe.
- **Family Exploitation:** The evidence shows I have been exploited by my own family members working in tandem with this network.

**Mechanism (“How They Did It” – Observed Pattern):**

The Appellant does not invite the Court to determine motive, but relies on the documented sequence:

1. Investigative publication on CBSYouSuck.com increased visibility of alleged systemic issues.
2. Coordinated high-value claims were filed across jurisdictions using overlapping counsel.
3. Media amplification (including involvement of figures such as Ray J in public narratives and related media cycles) reinforced the claims and created reputational pressure.
4. Litigation was used to block asset transactions, impose massive costs, and create uncertainty.
5. Service disputes and non-participation by certain UK counsel further fragmented the record.

This pattern has produced systemic disadvantage for a disabled litigant in person and has materially compromised the completeness of the record before the Court.

#### **F. SCHEDULE Y – IMPACT ON MR JUSTICE COOK AND PROCEDURAL FAIRNESS**

Mr Justice Cook has already heard submissions in the Antiguan proceedings (ANUHCV2025/0149) and granted the Appellant space to supply further evidence.

The current fragmented record places an unnecessary burden on Mr Justice Cook. Key materials — including the CBSYouSuck.com indexing evidence, the 16 January 2026 hearing transcript, the economic/carbon files, and the pattern of family exploitation working in tandem with the network — have not been fully synthesised across all jurisdictions.

Any enforcement decision made now risks being based on an incomplete understanding of the safeguarding risks (Pathway 1), record distortion (Pathway 2), government contacts involving Ehud Barak and others (Pathway 3), and active investigative overlaps (Pathway 6).

The Appellant does not suggest any fault on the part of Mr Justice Cook. On the contrary, the Appellant acknowledges the judge's procedural fairness in allowing further evidence. However, the interconnected nature of the proceedings (including the role of the Core Power Cluster and enforcers) means that enforcement at this stage would risk procedural unfairness to a disabled litigant in person and would place an unfair burden on the Court.

The Appellant therefore invites the Court to grant an immediate stay so that Mr Justice Cook (and this Court on appeal) can consider a complete and coherent record before any enforcement proceeds.

#### **G. CROSS-JURISDICTIONAL OVERLAP**

The same structure recurs across:

- Antigua — ANUHCV2025/0149
- United Kingdom — present proceedings
- California — B341119
- SDNY — Alpha Nero proceedings

These form a single interconnected evidentiary system.

#### **H. FINAL POSITION**

The Appellant does not seek criminal findings or final determinations of liability.

He seeks recognition that the record, as it stands — supported by the original file names, full evidence pathways, personal impact, family exploitation, and impact on Mr Justice Cook — is not safe for enforcement.

#### **I. RELIEF SOUGHT**

1. Immediate stay of enforcement
2. Directions on record integrity and completion
3. Preservation of all evidentiary materials
4. Recognition of cross-jurisdictional overlap
5. Reasonable adjustments under the Equality Act 2010 due to TBI (extended time to absorb material)

#### **J. FINAL SUBMISSION**

Where the same actors, pathways, and evidentiary gaps recur across jurisdictions — as evidenced by the original file names listed above — enforcement must pause until the integrity of the record is secured and safeguarding risks are properly addressed.

The Appellant respectfully invites the Court of Appeal to grant the relief sought.

/s/ Alkiviades David

**Sovereign Ambassador**

**Appellant / Litigant in Person**

**Dated: 10 April 2026**