

MASTER EVIDENCE BUNDLE (FINAL 10/10)

Court-Ready | Indexed | Pathways | Judicial Framing

Alkiviades David v Mahim Khan

JUDICIAL NOTE (PURPOSE OF BUNDLE)

This bundle is not advanced to prove liability. It is structured to demonstrate that: (1) the evidentiary record is broader than a conventional enforcement matter; (2) recurring actors and cross-border elements exist; (3) disclosure and preservation are required before irreversible steps are taken. Each exhibit is mapped to a defined evidentiary pathway.

EXHIBIT A1

Original File: SDNY Filings (1).pdf

Description: Baseline litigation record

Why it matters:

Establishes procedural history and jurisdictional overlap

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT A2

Original File: EXHIBIT-LAWFARE.pdf

Description: Litigation conduct material

Why it matters:

Supports pattern of coordinated legal activity

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT A3

Original File: MET POLICE.pdf

Description: UK law enforcement reference

Why it matters:

Confirms external investigative awareness

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT A4

Original File: Lieberman Letter to DOJ.pdf

Description: DOJ correspondence

Why it matters:

Links US federal awareness to the matter

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT B1

Original File: DMG ALK1.pdf

Description: Media timing evidence

Why it matters:

Shows alignment between media publication and legal events

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT C1

Original File: DIDDY HOLOGRAM.pdf

Description: IP usage evidence

Why it matters:

Supports financial motive and exploitation of assets

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT C2

Original File: ALKI - DIDDY.pdf

Description: Expanded IP evidence

Why it matters:

Reinforces misappropriation pattern

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT D1

Original File: KAPON depo 1.pdf

Description: Deposition testimony

Why it matters:

Adds witness layer and narrative support

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT D2

Original File: ALLIE COMS.png

Description: Witness corroboration

Why it matters:

Direct insider confirmation of environment

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT E1

Original File: FELDMAN.pdf

Description: Network linkage

Why it matters:

Demonstrates cross-industry connection

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT E2

Original File: DIDDY OBAMA.pdf

Description: Contextual overlap

Why it matters:

Shows recurring actors across contexts

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.

EXHIBIT F1

Original File: Dallas Police Homicide PDF

Description: Law enforcement record

Why it matters:

Establishes active investigative nexus

Evidentiary Pathway:

Supports one or more of the following: Proximity, Network Structure, Witness Corroboration, Financial Motive, Cross-Jurisdictional Overlap.