

JUDGE'S ROADMAP (OVERVIEW)

This bundle demonstrates that the evidential record is incomplete, fragmented across jurisdictions, and potentially missing central material. The Court is asked to determine whether it can safely proceed on this basis, or whether intervention is required to prevent irreversible prejudice.

ANNEX A – MASTER EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit | Description | Purpose |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| E1 | Antigua Claim Form | Parallel proceedings |
| E4 | US Appellate Order | Evidence disruption |
| E13 | Device Seizure | Evidence absence |
| E15 | Medical Report | Vulnerability |
| V1 | Ackman/UMG | Financial scale |
| V3 | Antigua ruling | Jurisdiction |
| V4 | NXIVM | Criminal precedent |

ANNEX B – EVIDENTIARY PATHWAYS

Record Integrity: E5 → E6 → E8

Effect: Record may be unstable

Evidence Absence: E13 → E4

Effect: Evidence not before Court

Fragmentation: E1 → E2 → E3

Effect: No unified record

Fairness: E15 → E17

Effect: Heightened risk

Context: V1 → V3 → V9

Effect: Complex environment

FINAL SYNTHESIS

These evidentiary pathways demonstrate not a conclusion, but a structural risk: that relevant and potentially decisive material exists outside the record presently before the Court. If the Court does not intervene now, it may later be impossible to undo the prejudice.