

Vindicating Michael

People Defend The Truth About Michael Jackson

DANIEL KAPON'S accusations against MICHAEL JACKSON and the beautiful role the NEWS OF THE WORLD played in it

JANUARY 3, 2012

tags: Carole Lieberman, Daniel Kapon, fake victims, Gloria Allred, News of the World, psychiatrist, Rupert Murdoch, Schizophrenia, therapy, Tom Sneddon

by Vindicatemj (Helena)

The story of Daniel Kapon as another phantom “victim” of Michael Jackson collected so much additional information that I’ve decided to turn this effort of ours into a separate post. It will include the facts provided by David, Lynette, Rockforeveon, Shelly and others, but will focus on a slightly different aspect of this fictional “molestation” case – the aspect which became apparent only when all facts were grouped together.

You will remember that after **the November 19, 2003 press-conference** (http://www.nobodysells4less.com/the_michaeljackson_case2.htm) where Sheriff Jim Anderson and District Attorney Tom Sneddon encouraged new MJ’s “victims” to come forward, many enthusiasts answered their call.

Nancy Grace was exhilarated to report that the District Attorney’s office was receiving “*many, many dozens of phone calls*”. Diane Dimond also said that the “*there were literally hundreds of “leads” phoned in*” but was disappointed to note that “*in the end, most if not all of the complaints went nowhere*”.

DANIEL KAPON'S CASE EMERGES

One of the most successful applicants for the role of a victim was a certain **Daniel Kapon** who was 18 years old at the time. **The mjjr site** (<http://www.mjjr.net/content/mjcase/faq.html>) says about him:

“In April 2004, news broke that an 18-year-old man named Daniel Kapon had told the Santa Barbara Police Department he’d been molested by Jackson when he was 3 years old. He claimed that he had repressed the memories and as a result, only recently remembered being abused. The SBPD turned him away because they could not

determine whether or not the man had even met Michael Jackson. For some reason, however, the SBPD did not file charges against Kapon. Consequently, he took his story to the Los Angeles Police Department who also concluded that his allegations were bogus.



(<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/dr-carole-lieberman.jpg>)

psychiatrist Carole Lieberman

It was later revealed that attorney Gloria Allred and psychiatrist Carole Lieberman were behind the man's accusations. For those unfamiliar with the names, Lieberman is the self-proclaimed "media" psychiatrist whose official website declares her "**the first psychiatrist to have made formal child abuse complaints against Michael Jackson, beginning in November 2002**" and Allred is the Jackson-obsessed attorney who keeps making public requests for the singer's children to be removed from his custody. Allred also briefly represented Jackson's first accuser Jordan Chandler in 1993 but was fired [by Jordan's new lawyer Larry Feldman] after she told the media that the Chandlers were interested in justice. Since then, Allred's relationship with Jackson has been contentious; in 2002, he publicly told her to "go to hell."

After Lieberman helped Kapon "remember" the abuse he allegedly suffered 15 years earlier, Allred signed on as his attorney. Their plans to go forward with the case were derailed, however, when the LAPD issued a statement saying they would not press charges. Although Jackson was cleared of any wrongdoing, the news of another accuser had already done significant damage to his image".

The phrase about the plans being derailed after the LAPD statement is not quite correct, and this will be exactly the topic of today's post, but first we need to look into the details of the project Daniel Kapon devised against Michael Jackson.

Details of Kapon's case are provided by no other than **Diane Dimond** in her book "Be careful who you love". To preempt your question why it was Diane Dimond who refuted Kapon's lies let me say that his allegations were so crazy that even Diane Dimond had to distance herself from this "victim" not to lose credibility with her audience.

She refers to Daniel as "Donny" and starts with the memorable press conference of November 19, 2003 (the text is cited by **David's post** (<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/2010/09/29/analyzing-the-media's-hypocrisy-in-reporting-on-michael-jackson's-settlements-vs-the-settlements-of-other->)):

“Standing next to the DA at the news conference that day was the newly installed sheriff of Santa Barbara, Jim Anderson. He made a public appeal for anyone with any other complaints against Michael Jackson to call his office. He gave out a special phone number.

*Sources at the sheriff’s office revealed that there were literally hundreds of “leads” phoned in that they were obligated to follow up. Calls came in to the district attorney’s office as well. It took countless man-hours to deal with them all. **In the end, most if not all of the complaints went nowhere.***

One such cold call was from an L.A.-based psychiatrist whose website says she is “recognized as the preeminent authority on the psychology of showbiz and the psychological influence of the media (<http://www.drcarole.com/about.htm>).

*On a Sunday **in late winter 2003**, she telephoned authorities in Santa Barbara and dramatically told them they had to come to her Beverly Hills office immediately, as she had with her an eighteen-year-old boy who was claiming to have been repeatedly molested by Michael Jackson. A pair of law enforcement types quickly took the bait – how could they not check it out?”*

Let us stop here for a second and make a note of the fact that Daniel Kapon’s case initially arose in December (‘late winter of’) 2003 after the November press conference by Jim Anderson and Tom Sneddon, and its timing shows that it was the immediate answer to the prosecutors’ call.

The Santa Barbara authorities were the first to examine the case. Then the investigation was taken up by the Los Angeles Police Department. At first it looked like the 18-year old Daniel was suffering from the *repressed memory* phenomenon, however further questioning proved that both he and his mother were telling *blatant lies*.

D.Dimond describes the progress of uncovering the truth:

Once in the doctor's office, they found a small, scared-looking young man I'll call "Donny". **His story was not only dramatic but graphic.** In a nutshell, he told them over the course of several years, **when he was between the ages of ten and fourteen, his father had repeatedly driven him to Neverland Ranch and left him there for days at a time.** Jackson, he said, **had bought his father a new car to make sure he always had a reliable way to get to Neverland from his suburban L.A. home.** At first he and Jackson just had fun at the ranch playing with all the games and riding the amusement park rides. But then over time, he said, Jackson gave him alcohol served in soda cans and drugs that made him "zone out".

Donny told the investigators it got to the point where he didn't mind because that way he could be "out of his body and not care what was really happening." Asked to describe exactly what had happened, he told them about various sex acts, including penetration, that were performed upon him by the star. He claimed his mother had pictures of him with Michael Jackson and close-up photos of bite marks left on various parts of his body, inflicted by the King of Pop.

The Santa Barbara investigators listened intently. And then Donny's mother entered the room. She was reported as having presented herself as confused and barely believable. She told of being attacked in a parking lot by a Jackson goon with a baseball bat who warned her to keep her mouth shut. She was sure they'd been sent by a private investigator Anthony Pellicano, apparently unaware that Pellicano hadn't word for Jackson for years. She had no photographs of her son and Jackson to show the authorities, and when asked why it had taken her son so long to come forward with is story, **the psychiatrist interjected, "Because this is a case of repressed memory."**

In cop shops across America those two words, "repressed memory," cause eyes to roll. For the Santa Barbara team listening to the doctor, it was no different. **Nonetheless, it was decided that Donny would travel back to Santa Barbara to undergo official forensic interrogation the next day.** The U.S. Justice Department offers special training to only a handful of child abuse investigators. It's an intense course on how best to deal with children who have suffered at the hands of deviant adults. Santa Barbara called in one of those specially equipped people to speak to young Donny, and after several hours **it was determined that "there is nothing correct about this....it is bogus."**

The interrogator reported that the young man's story kept changing. His original claim, that he'd been between ten and fourteen years old at the time of the molestation, switched in mid-interview. No, Donny said, he's actually been three to seven years of age. Then later he reportedly said the sex abuse occurred when he was fifteen years old. There were other discrepancies, too. But Santa Barbara authorities didn't leave it there. They traced the mother's claim of being attacked in the parking lot and found it to be nothing more than an altercation between two neighbors, fighting over some perceived slight. They found the boy's father and learned much more.

Donny's dad told investigators he had never met Michael Jackson and certainly had never taken his son to Neverland – ever. He called his ex-wife "a certifiable psycho" who'd actually lost custody of Donny when he was just three years old. The father had raised the boy himself and the mother had no contact with him until his eighteenth birthday. She'd apparently hired a private detective to track down her son at his college and reentered his life.

Donny's tale was described by insiders as "a tragedy, pure and simple." **A lonely, impressionable boy who so longed for motherly love that he allowed himself to be virtually brainwashed into believing an unstable parent's incredible story.**

Asked later what he thought of the Donny story, Santa Barbara district attorney **Thomas Sneddon told me, "The story was pure voodoo. But that poor, poor kid."**

In other words the allegations turned out to be either a certifiable psychiatric case or the invention of extremely clever con artists who made up a horrendously graphic story, trying to take advantage of the smear campaign raging against Michael Jackson.

The mind-blowing fact uncovered by the police is that despite being able to tell all those graphic details **Daniel had *never* been to Neverland and Michael Jackson *never* met Daniel Kapon in his whole life.**

POLICE INVESTIGATION CLOSES, THE MEDIA CAMPAIGN STARTS

The MJEOL site has two **excellent posts** (<http://site2.mjeol.com/mjeol-bullet/absurd-kapon-allegation-not-new-%C2%96-mb-299.html>) detailing the case , the **second of which** (<http://site2.mjeol.com/mjeol-bullet/many-kapon-claims-tossed-out-of-court-%C2%96-mb-321.html>) says that the criminal investigation was closed on **Friday, May 28, 2004** and five days later, on **Wednesday, June 2nd, 2004** the LAPD released an official statement for the media.

It said as follows:

http://www.lapdonline.org/press_releases/2004/06/pr04305.htm

Media Relations Section
150 North Los Angeles Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
213-485-3586
213-847-1760 Fax



**LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT
PRESS RELEASE
Wednesday, June 2, 2004**

"Michael Jackson Investigation"

Los Angeles: On May 28, 2004, Detectives from the Los Angeles Police Department's Juvenile Division officially concluded their 2-month investigation into allegations of child abuse against Michael Jackson.

The person making the allegations claimed the acts took place in the City of Los Angeles in the late 1980's. After an extensive investigation, which included hours of interviews with the person making the allegations, Detectives concluded there was no evidence that any crime occurred. No charges will be sought.

This news advisory was prepared by Lieutenant Art Miller, Media Relations Section, at 213-485-3586.

For Release 2:50 pm PDT
June 2, 2004

(<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/police-release-on-kapons-case-june-2-2004.png>)

"Media Relations Section

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT PRESS RELEASE

Wednesday, June 2, 2004

Los Angeles: On May 28, 2004, Detectives from the Los Angeles Police Department's Juvenile Division officially concluded their 2-month investigation into allegations of child abuse against Michael Jackson.

The person making the allegations claimed the acts took place in the City of Los Angeles in the late 1980's. After an extensive investigation, which included hours of interviews with the person making the allegations, Detectives concluded there was no evidence that any crime occurred. No charges will be sought.

This news advisory was prepared by Lieutenant Art Miller, Media Relations Section, at 213-485-3586.

For Release 2:50 pm June 2, 2004"

Since there was no case, no victim, no offender and no charges we could close the subject at that – if only it weren't for one interesting point.

Two days after the investigation closed, **on May 30, 2004** the Sunday British tabloid "**News of the World**" announced the breaking news about Daniel Kapon *again*.

As if having no idea that what they were saying was a complete lie they declared that a *second* victim was found who claimed that he had been molested as a child. The newspaper told its multi-million audience that it had obtained Daniel Kapon's videotape as "exclusive material" for which it reportedly paid half a million dollars (\$500,000).

In the almost 29 minutes long video the 18 year old teenager was telling in horrible detail the story of his "sickening abuse" by MJ from the tender age of three to age nine. According to the paper, the small boy was forced to take part in sexual acts which even now, many years after the "chilling" events, would badly "stick out in his mind".

"There was nothing the poor boy could do to fight back". The torturer acted "sadistic" and even filmed him as he carried out "depraved acts". He "was deriving pleasure from the boy's pain." This "freak" was "charming in public" but "switched his personality into a demon" once they were alone and in private.

"It was a totally whacked-out demeanour to even consider doing the things he's done to me", the poor victim said.

What was the proof that the young man was telling the truth? Of course he was able to describe the molester's naked body! "His whole body was the same colour, very white, very pale", according to the victim.

As he spoke of the "grotesque acts" performed to him, the young man grew so emotional that he broke down and asked to stop filming him. In the end he bitterly commented that the only pleasant memory of MJ but "riding the rides outside Neverland".

The newspaper assured everyone that the "youngster" was no longer in danger as he was currently in a safe house in Los Angeles, and was now represented by "highly respected lawyer" Gloria Adllred. The mother of the poor victim corroborated her son's story by the chilling details of the four-year old boy crying and kicking at the sound of MJ's songs to the amazement of the totally unsuspecting mother.

LA District Attorney Tom Sneddon was said to have "quizzed Daniel and passed a file to cops".

In short the story described by the News of the World was a sheer horror and seemed a damning proof of the suspect being a sadist and a predator. The newspaper implied that the police were looking into it and the readers could soon expect more of the new developments in the case....

Let me shake you by your shoulders if you fell under the spell again and wake you up to the fact that the "news" did not have a grain of truth in it and was "broken" to the public two days after the police had closed their several months' investigation and concluded that the case was completely baseless, bogus and fictitious.

However the people who were reading the story at the time *did not know it*, and we can very well imagine what impression it produced on them and public in general in the midst of the Arvizo case, before the trial even started. It was just the "evidence" everyone needed to make people sure that the man was a *serial* molester and should be hung on the first tree without any trial whatsoever.



(<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/news-of-the-world-goodbye.png>)

Good riddance!

Now that the News of the World was closed due to its phone-hacking scandal and its archive is unfortunately gone, we managed to retrieve the original article (thanks to Rockforeveron) from a **Hideout Spanish forum** (<http://mjhideout.com/forum/noticias-y-rumores/37993-news-of-the-world-trash-abused-for-sadistic-pleasure-supuesta-nueva-victma.html>) where Michael Jackson's fans reprinted this slanderous article, horrified by the false news and not knowing how to fight it.

The article is indeed such a glaring and insolent lie that it is worth repeating here it full for the sake of chronicling the worst lies told about Michael Jackson:

Edition 3GXDSUN 30 MAY 2004, Page 19

EXCLUSIVE: Abused for 'sadistic pleasure. Michael Jackson filmed as he abused me

By Carole Aye Muang in Los Angeles

A SECOND youngster has accused Michael Jackson of sex abuse, alleging the singer FILMED him as he carried out depraved acts.

Daniel Kapon also claims MJ, 45, is a sadist who revelled in inflicting pain.

The teenager, now 18, sets out a catalogue of sickening abuse that started from the age of THREE.

And he makes the detailed accusations in a harrowing 28 minute and 50 second video as his case is studied by Los Angeles police.

Daniel said he was never taken to MJ's bedroom to be abused—but to a chilling bare room without an ounce of comfort.

He recalled: "I wouldn't be in his bedroom. He'd do the sadistic acts, sometimes taping it, in a darkly lit room. He'd just be getting his kicks.

"The room had bare walls and had two camera lights and a chair which he'd make me sit in.

"There might have been a bed too. It was dark.

"I recall a director-type, an older guy with white hair. He would be holding the video camera and directing, telling Jackson what to do and sometimes they'd collaborate."

Daniel also alleged Jackson:

DRUGGED him in order to carry out depraved acts.

SWITCHED personality the second he was in private, changing from a charming companion to a "demon".

And ABUSED him for a total of six years at the star's two palatial homes, leaving him in turmoil.

The video recording Daniel's claims begins with his chilling words: "I was abused by Michael Jackson."

The singer already faces trial, possibly in September, accused of abusing cancer sufferer xxxxxx Arvizo when the lad was 12. MJ, who denies all charges, could be jailed for 74 years on nine charges. **Second accuser Daniel was clearly emotional on the video as he claimed he was filmed being forced to take part in "grotesque acts".**

He added: "It seemed as if he was deriving pleasure from my pain." Then he claimed Jackson would "be laughing demonically while this was happening." The youngster, currently in a safe house in Los Angeles, and now represented by highly respected lawyer Gloria Allred, said that there was nothing he could do to fight back.

He added: "I had no control over my body but I was still conscious. "They drugged me. I was given a goblet of red wine. I suspect it had something in it." And he described the sinister change in Jackson's personality once he was in private. One minute they might be walking through grounds of the star's Neverland Ranch in California.

Once inside and out of sight of others, Jackson would turn off the charm like a tap. Daniel said: "In public, Jackson was charming, but when I was alone with him he was a freak. His whole demeanour changed. You have to have a totally whacked-out demeanour to even consider doing the things he's done to me. He was acting demonic, he was acting crazed. Very sadistic."

At this point, Daniel breaks down and asks to stop filming. When it resumes, his first words are: "He was verbally abusive, cursing, very crazed for power."

Daniel went on to describe Jackson's naked body. He said: "I remember that his whole body was the same colour, very white, very pale."

The youngster's mother Suzanne says her son was introduced to Jackson by her estranged husband Danny. Before long, the child became a regular visitor to Jackson's home in Encino and was also treated to a special birthday party at Neverland.

Daniel said he suffered abuse ordeals at both places.

He added: "I can remember things as far back as when I was three. I have a photographic memory. "I vaguely remember first meeting Jackson—but the things that stick out in my mind are the sexual acts that occurred with him." And I remember walking with Jackson on the trail at Neverland and holding his hand and talking to him. Just chit chat. "Most of what I remember at around the age of 12 and 13 is just being alone with him and that other guy and all the sexual acts."

Mum Suzanne, who did not have custody of Daniel, said she had noticed bite marks on intimate parts of his body.

Asked if he has any pleasant memories of the star, Daniel spits: "Pleasant memories with Jackson? Only outside Neverland riding the rides."

Suzanne said she discovered her son knew MJ shortly before his fourth birthday—when one of the star's songs came on the car radio. She said: "My son was very agitated and started kicking my radio so that the knob came off. He told me, 'I don't like that'. "I said, 'What? It's Michael Jackson'. He said, 'I don't like him'. I said, 'You're talking like you know him'. He said, 'I do' and started crying. I just flipped out."

LA District Attorney Tom Sneddon has quizzed Daniel and passed a file to cops.

Now that you've read the whole of it, you will agree that the story is so detailed that some might even think it to be true. I was especially taken in by the poor victim "breaking down" when remembering his "molestation" and unable to go on due to the horrible memories overwhelming him.

The way the story is told the reader also gets the impression that the case has just been uncovered and the investigation is only just starting as "LA District Attorney Tom Sneddon has quizzed Daniel and passed a file to cops."

However *now* we know that by the time the article was printed the investigation had been *closed* and Daniel Kapon's case was found non-existent as there was *no crime, no victim* and certainly *no molester*.

But since no one knew about it *then*, what was the public supposed to think of Michael Jackson? That besides Gavin Arvizo there was a *second* accuser? And in addition to that the media recently leaked a declaration made in 1993 to lawyer Larry Feldman by a *third* so-called "victim" Jordan Chandler who spoke of something similar?

The pile of lies we see here is like a house of cards – one fictional story is put on top of another fictional story and all this is based on the fictional allegations made eleven years ago which ended in nothing because the "victim" was afraid to go to a criminal trial from the very start of it. However on the surface of it the house of cards does look impressive, doesn't it?

We can imagine what a terrible impression Kapon's story produced on the public when in the midst of the Arvizo allegations another "victim" surfaced with these horrible revelations. The world must have been shattered to its very foundation by these sickening details – complete with the "victim breaking

down” when telling his story or describing MJ’s “naked body” or the inconsolable mother of the poor “victim” corroborating his lies...

Sunday paper circulation

- News of the World: 2.6m
- Mail on Sunday: 1.9m
- Sunday Mirror: 1.1m
- Sunday Times: 1m
- Sunday Express: 602,000
- Sunday Telegraph: 510,000
- The People: 480,000
- Sunday Mail: 367,000
- Daily Star Sunday: 309,000

Source: ABC (Average for April 2011)

(<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/news-of-the-world-circulation-26mln.png>)

2,6 mln. people fell for Daniel Kapon’s lie due to the News of the World tabloid

How many people believed this gross lie about Daniel Kapon? You don’t know?

And how big was the circulation of the dirty Sunday newspaper called the **News of the World**? According to **this source** (<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-14076422>) its circulation was **2,6mln.** (in 2011 though, but let us not be too meticulous about the year).

So over 2 and a half million people swallowed the Daniel Kapon lie and retold it to their friends, relatives, neighbors and colleagues!

And how many more millions read and are *still* reading the bogus Kapon’s story repeated by **MTV** and **Contactmusic**, both of which are still found on the Internet and are provided below?

And in contrast to that, how many people saw the *official* LAPD release posted on their site?

A thousand? Two thousand? And who knows of that website *at all*?

And even if you did see it how can the two short sentences that “there was no crime” and “there will be no charges” of the non-emotional official statement be compared to the horrid impression of fictional molestation described in so much graphic detail by the popular newspaper, whose story was immediately repeated by other tabloids the world over?

And even if the News of the World published a tiny disclaimer of their previous lie, who will guarantee that the millions of their readers paid attention to it? Isn’t it much more likely that the majority still remembered the graphic details of fictional molestation and will wonder from time to time “*Do you remember that victim? The one who broke down when speaking about his ordeal? What happened to him? Why are they hushing up this terrible case?*”

I bet that there are millions of people who are still thinking that way!

Could it be just a terrible misunderstanding on the part of the News of the World and they simply did *not know* that there was no case? No, they couldn't be unaware of it.

On the contrary, the time of their publication shows that they started a huge new provocation against Jackson *on purpose*, hoping to do him damage the official investigation was unable to do. They trashed Michael Jackson's name intentionally *knowing full well* that there were no grounds for any such allegations.

In my opinion this episode is no lesser insult to the society than the phone-hacking scandal that triggered off the closure of that disreputable paper. Just imagine for how many years this ulcerous "source" was contaminating the social environment with its venom. And Rupert Murdoch and his son initially created the impression of decent people...

Oh, I see some of you saying that the bosses *did not know* what their editorial staff was doing. Didn't know *again*?

THE SAME PATTERN WAS USED IN 2011

Previously we were in some doubt whether the smear campaign against Michael was intentional. Now the News of the World example proved to us that it was. The pattern the media used is always the same – **the Law says that there was no crime, but the Media says there was and the public believes the Media, and not the Law.**

Why does this pattern look so familiar to me? It is because only recently we had a similar case when the jury said a man was guilty of killing Michael Jackson, but the media said he wasn't and wanted the public to believe its lies, and not the jury's verdict.

I am talking of Conrad Murray's documentary aired immediately after Murray's conviction – which was a move meant to overturn the verdict and the nasty impression Murray's trial produced on the public.

What a blow it was for the media that the trial was televised and watched by the whole world! If it hadn't, Murray's documentary lies would have passed for the truth and the resulting impression would have again been in favor of the killer and not Michael Jackson as was always the case.

Yes, for Daniel Kapon's lies the media used the same logic, the same pattern, the same target (Michael Jackson) and the same method – the authorities say one thing but the media says another, and it is the lying media which takes the upper hand.

HALF A MILLION FOR A LIE

The events that followed the News of the World publication show how effective this well-tested pattern of smearing Michael Jackson was.

The fictional story spread like fire. MTV reprinted it referring its readers to the release Los Angeles authorities made in *mid-April* (when the investigation was in full swing) which conveyed the impression that they were still looking into Kapon's claims.

MTV also said that Santa Barbara authorities declined to comment, citing the gag order in the Jackson case. The comment, made in the evening of June 1, 2004, was totally ridiculous of course, as the case had already been closed and it was only the Jackson camp who were telling people the innocent truth.

They noted that when the story appeared it was offered for half a million dollars :

Jun 1 2004 7:48 PM EDT

Jackson Camp Calls New Allegations A Smear Campaign

Daniel Kapon sold half-hour taped account of abuse to U.K. tabloid.

By Jennifer Vineyard

Michael Jackson and his camp are dismissing as "malicious" and "false" claims by an 18-year-old man who has sold his story of abuse to a British tabloid.

News of the World purchased Daniel Kapon's half-hour videotape, on which he alleges Jackson had drugged, molested and videotaped him during trips to the Jackson family home in Encino, California, as well as at Neverland Ranch. Kapon claims the abuse started when he was 3 and continued for six years. A Jackson spokesperson said the tape was being offered for nearly a half a million dollars when it first surfaced a month and a half ago.

"This appears to be a malicious attempt to undermine Mr. Jackson's right to a fair hearing on the charges presently pending," Jackson's lawyers said in a statement.

"We have to question the timing and purpose of this false allegation being raised at this time. We believe that this smear campaign is driven by money-hungry lawyers seeking to capitalize on Mr. Jackson's current legal situation."

Kapon claims his allegations were prompted by a repressed memory that was recovered while under a psychiatrist's care. That psychiatrist is said to be Dr. Carole Lieberman, and Kapon's lawyer is said to be Gloria Allred.

Lieberman and Allred previously filed complaints against Jackson with the Department of Children and Family Services following his infamous baby-dangling incident (see "Michael Jackson Tells Attorney To 'Go To Hell' " (<http://www.mtv.com/news/articles/1458995/jackson-lashes-out-at-lawyer.jhtml>)). Lieberman declined to comment, and Allred was unavailable for comment.

Los Angeles authorities issued a press release when allegations first surfaced in mid-April, stating that they are looking into the Kapon's claims. Santa Barbara authorities declined to comment, citing the gag order in the Jackson case.

*<http://www.mtv.com/news/articles/1488069/new-jackson-allegations-called-malicious.jhtml>
(<http://www.mtv.com/news/articles/1488069/new-jackson-allegations-called-malicious.jhtml>)*

NEW 'VICTIMS' ARE ALWAYS FOUND BY THE SAME PEOPLE

The story from Contactmusic, which also reprinted the "sensation" said that Kapon initially made a video tape of his revelations for the Santa Barbara police to study. I wonder if the family had a copy of it which was allegedly sold to the News of the World (for half a million) or whether it was the tape from the police station that was made available to the newspaper?

If the latter is correct it means that the police closed the investigation with their *right* hand, but used their *left* hand to pass over the now useless video to a tabloid, so that it was not wasted and continued to live a life of its own.

Here is the Contactmusic article saying that Daniel gave evidence on camera for the Santa Barbara police:

30 May 2004 14:20

Jackson Accused By A Second Youngster

Troubled superstar MICHAEL JACKSON has been accused of vicious sexual abuse by a second teenager in America – as he prepares to fight claims by GAVIN ARVIZO.

The THRILLER singer, 45, allegedly recorded “grotesque acts” between himself and child pal DANIEL KAPON after drugging the youngster, reports British newspaper THE NEWS OF THE WORLD.

Kapon – now 18 – says the abuse started when he was just three-years-old and continued for many years. His mother SUZANNE – who didn’t have custody of him at the time – corroborates his story saying she noticed bite marks near his private parts when he visited her. According to Kapon the abuse was always carried out in a chilling bare room and the proceedings were captured on video by a mystery white-haired man.

While giving evidence on camera for Santa Barbara, California police to study, Daniel said: “I was abused by Michael Jackson. “It seemed like he was deriving pleasure from my pain. He’d do the sadistic acts, sometimes taping it, in a darkly lit room. He’d just be getting his kicks.” I recall a director-type, an older guy with white hair. He would hold the video camera and direct, telling Jackson what to do and sometimes they’d collaborate.”

“I had no control over my body but I was still conscious. They drugged me. I was given a goblet of red wine. I suspect it had something in it.” Kapon also spoke of the way Jackson changed when they were alone together, describing him as “charming in public” but in private, “he was a freak. His whole demeanour changed.”

Police are now investigating Kapon’s claims as Jackson prepares to stand trial in September (04) on nine charges.

30/05/2004 14:20

*<http://www.contactmusic.com/news-article/jackson-accused-by-a-second-youngster>
(<http://www.contactmusic.com/news-article/jackson-accused-by-a-second-youngster>)*

The lies about Kapon’s case were so obvious that **Fox News** allowed themselves a little sarcasm over it. The strange thing they also noticed is that when it comes to Michael Jackson it is always the same professionals from previous scandals who discover new “victims” – Larry Feldman, Tom Sneddon and Gloria Allred.

Funny but the same psychiatrist Carole Lieberman also helped Randy Taraborrelli to work on what even Fox News calls a “tabloid” biography of Jackson.

By the way they stress that Gavin Arvizo was the only other Jackson case *in a decade* and this explains to us the urgent need for more “victims” like Kapon.

Published June 01, 2004

FOXNews

Jacko: Now Fingered by the 'Head Lyncher'

*An 18-year-old named **Daniel Kapon** says Michael Jackson abused him from the time he was 3 years old to age 9. Kapon sold his story to the United Kingdom's reliably funny News of the World newspaper and it appeared over the weekend.*

*The bad news for all of them is that Santa Barbara District Attorney **Tom Sneddon** doesn't seem to be taking any of this seriously, which is exactly right.*

Kapon lives in Encino, Calif., where Jackson also lived with his parents until the mid 1980s. On a Web site set up by his Woodland Hills high school friends, it's noted that Kapon is a member of something called the "Messed Up Friends Club." His position in the club is "Head Lyncher," described thusly by Simone, the Web master of the site: "He hates me, the webpage designer, more than he hates everybody else." Kapon's 2002 school paper on "Oedipus Rex," can be found on the Internet.

*Kapon is represented by Jackson critic **Gloria Allred**, and was — according to reports — or is — a patient of **Carole Lieberman**, the Los Angeles shrink who worked on **Randy Taraborelli's** tabloid biography of Jackson and was the person who filed complaints against Jackson for his baby-dangling incident.*

*Meanwhile, last week, **Larry Feldman**, the Los Angeles attorney who secured a \$20 million settlement against Jackson for a 13-year-old boy in 1993, filed a promise to sue Los Angeles County for his latest clients, the family of the 14-year-old boy who is the plaintiff in the only other Jackson case in a decade.*

Strangely enough, it's always the same professionals from previous Jackson scandals who discover the new ones. They must have a sixth sense for sniffing out Michael Jackson mishegos. No other lawyers or psychiatrists seem to have the same abilities.

*<http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,121364,00.html>
(<http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,121364,00.html>)*

Michael's then lawyers also wondered about the strange timing of Kapon's accusations, called them a malicious and false attempt to undermine MJ's right to a fair trial and pointed at Gloria Allred in an allegoric form:

"This appears to be a malicious attempt to undermine Mr. Jackson's right to a fair hearing on the charges presently pending," Jackson's lawyers said in a statement. "We have to question the timing and purpose of this false allegation being raised at this time. We believe that this smear campaign is driven by money-hungry lawyers seeking to capitalize on Mr. Jackson's current legal situation."

THE CIVIL SUIT

The money-hungry lawyer who wanted to capitalize on Michael Jackson's legal situation was not only Gloria Allred, but a certain **Michael G. Mattern**, a Newport Beach lawyer who disgraced himself by accepting Kapon's case *after* the police officially announced that his case was a big pack of lies and after the Arvizo case ended in full Michael's acquittal.

"They investigated a while ago," Mattern said of the LAPD. **Meantime, his client "has been in therapy since then."** Working with a psychologist, Kapon recalled more details of the **molestations**. Those will be key to the court case. "The standard of proof in civil court is a different ball game," he said.

The saying goes that "History repeats itself, first as tragedy, second as farce".

Michael Mattern's statement is indeed a splendid farce of the tragic allegations made against Michael Jackson throughout his life – by Jason Francia, for example, who was also "in therapy" for several months after which he miraculously recalled that he had been tickled by MJ on 3 occasions.

Or by Gavin Arvizo, for example who also recalled molestation only after close association with psychologist Dr. Katz who was famous for devising the interviewing technique which led to a huge pile of false child abuse allegations against the McMartin school staff (their trial lasted for 6 months and ended in these innocent people's full acquittal).

Or by Jordan Chandler who was subjected to some "truth serum", filed a civil suit similar to Daniel Kapon's and didn't go to a criminal court because the "standard of proof in civil court is a different ball game" (quoting Michael Mattern's words).

Regarding the standard of proof in civil and criminal cases the legal dictionary says the following:

Civil Case

In general, the standard of proof in a civil case is the "preponderance of evidence." This means that the plaintiff's attorney has the burden of proving to the jury that it is more likely than not that the defendant caused the plaintiff's injury. The scale need only tip slightly in favor of the plaintiff in order to cause the defendant to lose the lawsuit. This lower level of proof reflects that the defendant is exposed to financial liability and not loss of his liberty.

(<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/burden-of-proof-in-a-civil-case.png>)

Criminal Case

The standard of proof, which the prosecutor must meet in a criminal case, is "beyond a reasonable doubt." This means that the prosecutor's arguments, facts and evidence must be so convincing that members of the jury can reach no other logical conclusion than to determine that the defendant is convicted of the crime(s) he is alleged to have committed. Since the defendant's freedom (and sometimes life) is at stake, the prosecutor has a higher burden of proof than a plaintiff's attorney in a civil action because there is less room for error.

(<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/burden-of-proof-in-a-criminal-case.png>)

Regarding the standard of proof in civil and criminal cases the legal dictionary says the following:

"In criminal prosecutions and civil actions, the party initiating the action is assigned a burden of proof, but *the level of proof in each case is different*, taking into account the potential detriment to the defendant if he is found guilty or liable. The differences in civil and criminal standards can lead to opposite outcomes in a case involving the same facts and issues."

http://www.ehow.com/info_8459564_standard-criminal-civil-court-cases.html

(http://www.ehow.com/info_8459564_standard-criminal-civil-court-cases.html)

The OC Weekly satirical article explains to us the essence of Daniel Kapon's farce of a civil suit filed against Michael Jackson in October 2005.

Michael Jackson was especially vulnerable at the time as after the criminal trial with the Arvizos he was barely alive. And still he had to deal with the impossibly crazy Daniel Kapon's allegations – which are well described in this article:

OC lawsuit says two-year-old wrote Michael Jackson hits

Thursday, Jan 12 2006

... [A lawsuit filed in October in Orange County Superior Court \(http://www.ocweekly.com/related/to/Superior+Court+of+California\)](http://www.ocweekly.com/related/to/Superior+Court+of+California) alleges Jackson “copied and used songs authored by” Kapon without his permission. The alleged plagiarism started in 1987, when Kapon was two and Jackson released *Bad*, and ended with 1997’s *Blood on the Dance Floor*. The suit doesn’t specify the tunes Kapon supposedly composed, doesn’t say how much Kapon seeks, and doesn’t ask what would seem the most obvious question: Did a two-year-old really help write some of pop’s biggest hits?

And was it Kapon or Jacko who was “The Man in the Mirror”?

[Kapon first made headlines in the spring of 2004, when he sold a half-hour videotape to the British tabloid News of the World. Price tag: a reported \\$500,000. In the tape, Kapon recounted years of alleged abuse at Jackson’s hands, at his Neverland ranch and at an Encino property. He said Jackson sometimes filmed the molestations. Jackson’s lawyers dismissed Kapon’s claims as “malicious,” and the Los Angeles Police Department \(http://www.ocweekly.com/related/to/Los+Angeles+Police+Department\)](http://www.ocweekly.com/related/to/Los+Angeles+Police+Department) found no criminal wrongdoing after a two-month investigation. None of this deterred Newport Beach lawyer **Michael G. Mattern** (<http://www.ocweekly.com/related/to/Michael+Mattern>) from accepting Kapon’s case.

“They investigated a while ago,” he said of the LAPD. **Meantime, his client “has been in therapy since then.” Working with a psychologist, Kapon recalled more details of the molestations, says Mattern. Those will be key to the court case.**

“The standard of proof in civil court is a different ball game,” he said. “What the police do has nothing to do with me.”

[Mattern filed the suit against Jackson, his MJJ Productions and three different Sony companies. It also names SBC Communications, where Kapon’s mother was an employee at the phone company’s Anaheim office; Jackson and his associates allegedly “battered” Kapon’s mother there. Mattern says he filed the case in Orange County because of the Anaheim attack, and because Kapon alleges Jackson molested him at Disneyland.](#)

[The suit, which alleges the molestations started when Kapon was two and lasted until he was 14, claims Jackson molested Kapon by “sticking his tongue down plaintiff’s throat, masturbating plaintiff, and anally penetrating plaintiff by force.” The suit also claims Jackson plied Kapon with drugs and alcohol; burned, tortured and beat him; and even forced Kapon to go through “unnecessary cosmetic surgery procedures.”](#)

Mattern did not allow the Weekly to interview Kapon, citing a pending Feb. 14 court hearing. [But Mattern says he’s compiling a list of specific songs Jackson plagiarized from Kapon. He’s not surprised that Jackson would steal ideas from a two-year-old.](#)

“[Daniel] was a gifted child, very bright and had some musical talent,” said Mattern. “But to come up with basic ideas, a song, a melody, doesn’t require that much musical talent. I know how to do it myself—I’m a musician. My daughter was doing melodies when she was two.”

Melodies at two? Stupid, stupid Mozart.

<http://www.ocweekly.com/2006-01-12/music/mozart-an-idiot/> (<http://www.ocweekly.com/2006-01-12/music/mozart-an-idiot/>)

It would be a grave mistake to think that a crazy case like that was thrown out of court the moment it was filed there. On the contrary, the civil case lasted for *four years* and it is only *in the year 2008* that the totally absurd details of Kapon's so-called molestation finally began to emerge.

If these details had not been hidden from the public view, the people would have had a chance to make sure on their own that Daniel Kapon and his mom were complete lunatics. Instead of wasting other people's time and money, getting on Michael Jackson's nerves and pooling wool over people's eyes Daniel Kapon and his mom should have been placed in the corresponding mental institutions as both were totally *crazy*.

However, sensational as it was, no American or British tabloid reported the news about the outcome of Kapon's civil case. I've been able to find the first traces of the truth reported in January 2008 "Thaindian News portal" only.

This news portal went over Kapon's earlier claims and added some new stuff from Kapon which said that not only MJ "subjected him to unnecessary cosmetic surgery, burned, tortured and beating" but that Kapon was also **father of MJ's children as Michael wanted to "continue Kapon's blood line as he believed that he was related to Rothschilds"!**

Another rare article which was recently shared with us by Shelly added more craziness to the story, explaining that Michael Jackson **had married Kapon's mom "multiple times", was madly in love with her and wanted Kapon to have sex with Debbie Rowe, only "it did not work out" at the time.**

You can familiarize yourselves with the materials of the case **here**.
(<http://www.onpointnews.com/docs/kapon.pdf>)

What is also interesting about the civil case is that despite its obvious schizophrenic nature the judge did not dismiss the case *at once* but divided it into more and less acceptable "counts", part of which survived until the very end of the case which was thrown out of court only after *the plaintiff failed to show up for the commencement of the trial*.

The force of prejudice against Michael Jackson was so huge that even lunatics like Daniel Kapon were given every possible chance to drive their own nail into his body!

The journalists describing all this madness pretend that the crazy nature of Kapon's claims is not putting them off. The most they carefully say is that the allegations are "unusual":

"A lawsuit filed by a young man who alleged he was molested as a boy by Michael Jackson — and who also claimed he fathered the singer's two oldest children and saw him dine with Fidel Castro — was dismissed today by a judge.

Los Angeles Superior Court Judge Rolf M. Treu granted a motion by Jackson's lawyer, Thomas C. Mundell, to throw out the case after the 22-year-old plaintiff, [tag Daniel Kapon], failed to show up for the scheduled start of today's trial.

"I think the judge realized how crazy the lawsuit was," Mundell said outside the courtroom.

Kapon never visited Jackson's Neverland Ranch in Santa Barbara County as he claimed, and the entertainer did not know him, the lawyer said.

Kapon's lawsuit alleged Jackson "repeatedly and forcefully sexually molested" him starting in 1987, when he was 2, and lasting until he was 14.

The case was originally filed in Orange County, but a judge transferred it to Los Angeles County in March 2006 at the request of Jackson's lawyers.

*In court papers filed Dec. 17, Mundell said Kapon made a series of **unusual** statements during his Dec. 11 deposition that undermined the validity of his case.*

Kapon claimed his mother married Jackson multiple times, and testified that the ceremonies were attended by Elizabeth Taylor, Celine Dion, Elton John, Paul McCartney, Beyonce Knowles and Diana Ross, according to Mundell's court papers.

He said Kapon testified that his mother "was in Michael Jackson's 'Thriller' music video. She helped Michael with a lot of his material, his songs, his music videos. Michael Jackson was madly in love with my mom."

Kapon also claimed he fathered Jackson's two oldest children, Paris and Prince Michael, by masturbating into a jar so his semen could be used to impregnate Jackson's ex-wife Deborah Rowe, according to his deposition.

Jackson specifically wanted Kapon to be the father of the two children, Kapon testified.

"He was obsessed with geniuses and blood lines and he told me that he wanted to continue my blood line, which he believed to be related to the Rothschilds," Kapon testified.

Jackson wanted Kapon to have sexual intercourse with Rowe, but "it did not work out," he testified.

Kapon also testified that one of Jackson's other lawyers, Marshall L. Brubacher, was conspiring with disgraced private investigator Anthony Pellicano and "other associates of Michael Jackson" to "kidnap me and make my life a living hell."

According to additional Kapon deposition testimony, he said he saw Jackson dining with Castro in New York City, Mundell's court papers state.

"These are not good-faith deposition answers," Mundell wrote. "They are the ravings of an unbalanced celebrity stalker."

Treu granted a motion by Barry Fischer, Kapon's most recent attorney, to withdraw his representation Jan. 10 after his client stopped communicating with him in late November.

Kapon's mother stated he was homeless and living in a car, Fischer said in his court papers.

"I do not know if he is ill, dead or simply refusing to respond," Fischer wrote.

The lawyer filed a "missing persons report" with Los Angeles police on Jan.3, a copy of which was attached to his motion.

In December 2006, Treu threw out Kapon's allegations of childhood sexual molestation, intentional infliction of emotional distress, plagiarism, conversion, breach of contract, accounting, fraud, negligence, civil conspiracy and unfair business practices.

However, Treu ruled then that case could go to trial on allegations of battery, willful misconduct, assault and false imprisonment, along with a sexual battery cause of action that Brubacher did not move to dismiss.

Kapon's court papers alleged the false imprisonment occurred when Jackson confined him for "multiple periods" from 1987 to late 1991 at Neverland Ranch, as well as homes in Encino and Woodland Hills.

Kapon also claimed he was occasionally confined inside a car, against his will, by Jackson; that the singer stuck his tongue down Kapon's throat, masturbated him and anally penetrated him by force; and that he gave him drugs and alcohol and subjected him to unnecessary cosmetic surgery, as well as burning, torturing and beating him.

Along with the abuse claims, Kapon alleged Jackson misappropriated his song ideas, lyrics and melodies during the time in which the pop star was recording "Bad," released in 1987, "Dangerous," released in 1991, "HIStory, released in 1995, and "Blood on the Dance Floor," released in 1997.

Treu previously dismissed Sony BMG Music Entertainment and Sony Music Holding Inc. as defendants. Kapon alleged they shared responsibility with Jackson for stealing his music ideas and for breaching a contract.

Jackson was acquitted in 2005 of child molestation charges brought by a teenage boy, and some years ago reached an undisclosed, but reportedly multimillion-dollar settlement with another boy who alleged he was molested by the pop star.

http://www.dailybreeze.com/ci_7969754 (http://www.dailybreeze.com/ci_7969754)

It is no wonder that with a client like Daniel Kapon his other attorney Barry Fischer finally lost all patience and **dropped him** (<http://www.onpointnews.com/docs/kapon2.pdf>) saying that he "could no longer prepare for trial in such circumstances".

MJ AND KAPON NEVER MET

To most of us the clinical nature of Daniel Kapon's fantasies is so obvious that they do not require any debunking, but for those few who are still unconvinced let me repeat what I said in a **Phantom victims post** (<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/2010/06/21/phantom-victims-of-mj/>) regarding one of the dates they can compare on their own – in Kapon's suit and real Michael Jackson's life.

39. On of about December 21, 1999, plaintiff observed in close proximity his mother being battered by defendants, MICHAEL JACKSON and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, at 200 Center Street Promenade, city of Anaheim, county of Orange, state of California, which was owned, managed and maintained by defendants, SBC and DOES

(<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/06/daniel-kapon-quote-from-a-civil-suit.png>)

a quote from Daniel Kapon's suit

It is a quote from Kapon's 2005 lawsuit saying that defendant Michael Jackson "battered" the plaintiff's mother while the plaintiff was "in close proximity to him" and claiming that this notable event took place on December 21, 1999.

- **December 20 :**

After recording over 75 songs for his new album, Michael leaves NYC and go back to Neverland with Grace & the kids.

- **December 24/25 :**

Michael celebrates Christmas with the Cascios, Prince & Paris at Neverland.

(<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/06/daniel-kapon-what-mj-really-did-on-those-days1.png>)

<http://mjtimeline.blogspot.com/2009/08/1999.html>

(<http://mjtimeline.blogspot.com/2009/08/1999.html>)

The real timeline shows that in December that year Michael Jackson was in New York recording songs for his new album.

And on *December 20, 1999* he left there for Neverland together with his kids and nanny.

In fact not only Michael Jackson didn't meet Daniel Kapon on December 21, 1999, but as Michael's attorney said and the police confirmed it, Michael Jackson never met Daniel Kapon at all.

Let me say it again.

Daniel Kapon was telling all those graphic stories about Michael Jackson though he never met him *at all*.

SOME CONCLUSIONS

Each of us can draw our own conclusions from Daniel Kapon's incredible story. I will tell you of mine only.

1. A great many of Michael Jackson's accusers were psychotic types, like Even Chandler and Janet Arvizo, or pure madmen like Daniel Kapon – however their deplorable mental state was taken advantage of by totally sane people like Larry Feldman, Diane Dimond, Gloria Allred, Carole Lieberman, Tom Sneddon and many others.
2. Despite the fact that most of the allegations were the ravings of psychotic or completely mad people, we've never heard them to be pronounced as such by the media or authorities. The most the media said about these people was that their allegations were "unusual" or that they were "unstable".

In contrast to the media's unusual restraint towards Michael Jackson's accusers Michael Jackson himself was freely called by the media "weird", a "freak", "wacko", "a threat to society", etc. with no effort made to provide any fraction of proof for the above statements.

3. The media was working in close collaboration with the Santa Barbara authorities and created the corresponding information background for Michael Jackson's never-ending harassment. The fact that the Santa Barbara police video of Daniel Kapon's ravings landed in the News of the World tabloid and was described in most graphic detail two days after the official investigation was *closed*, shows that there was an undisputable link between the two forces where both were interested in portraying Michael Jackson as a monster.

The Los Angeles department in Daniel Kapon's cases stands somewhat apart from Sneddon as they at least issued an official release about Kapon's non-existent case, while Tom Sneddon kept complete silence about it. The only person he confided in was Diane Dimond to whom he called the case "pure voodoo", however we heard no public statements from this man.

4. The gravity and graphic nature of Daniel Kapon's allegations now allow me to look at similar allegations from Arvizo or Jordan Chandler with much more ease than before.

Now that I know how wide-spread, routine and standard these allegations were the so-called statement by Jordan Chandler does not evoke any more feeling than the crazy allegations from Daniel Kapon's suit.

At least Jordan's lies did not go as far as Daniel Kapon's did. Yes, I remember that he could not have afforded going that far – *that* would have required an exam which could have ruined the whole case.

11. From in or about 1987, when plaintiff was two years old, until on or about December 21, 1999, when plaintiff was fourteen years old, in the Counties of Los Angeles, Santa Barbara and Orange, at locations which were owned, leased or rented by defendants, and each of them, defendant MICHAEL JACKSON repeatedly and forcefully sexually molested plaintiff, and defendants MJJ, SONY and DOES 1-100, inclusive, knew, or should have known, that defendant MICHAEL JACKSON repeatedly and forcefully sexually molested plaintiff. The sexually molestation includes, but is not limited to, defendant MICHAEL JACKSON sticking his tongue down plaintiff's throat, masturbating plaintiff, and anally penetrating plaintiff by force.

(<https://vindicatemj.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/daneil-kapons-civil-suit-excerpt1.png>)

Excerpt from Daniel Kapon's civil suit

5. The fact that Kapon could “break down” while reciting his fake story to the police and was “overwhelmed by emotion” over his “uncovered” and previously “repressed” memories, proves to me that it is exceptionally difficult to differentiate between *really* abused victims and *neurotic and exalted types* like Daniel Kapon (who can probably cry over anything), *actors* like Gavin and Star Arvizo, and *calculating scoundrels* like Jason Francia who also worked himself into some emotions over some initially forgotten memories of “tickling”.

6. Another conclusion is that no matter how ridiculous the allegation is there is always a chance that in a *civil* suit the jury will still decide in favor of the plaintiff for a number of reasons – the burden of proof is not that high and at the very first instance of doubt the defendant is considered guilty – because in a civil suit the accused is considered risking his money ‘only’ and not his freedom or life.

Given that Jordan Chandler filed a civil suit against Jackson and it was also planned to be *televised* as I’ve recently found out, *now* I think that Michael’s lawyers were right when they persuaded him to settle instead of going through the many years of this televised nightmare which would have ended in a money penalty *anyway*. However this conclusion does not make any better that rogue Carl Douglas, the associate of Johnny Cochran who recently spoke at the Frozen in time seminar.

7. I also have to bitterly admit that despite all official statements made by the police, departments of children and family services, and other authorities about total lack of evidence in accusations like Daniel Kapon’s, the people’s minds still retain the colorful details of false “molestations” *better* than short official disclaimers of these lies. This is a phenomenon of human psychology and it is being widely used by the unscrupulous media against Jackson.

Their motto is that a ridiculous but graphic lie is always more impressive than boring but innocent truth, and all we can do about it is stick this reminder to our TV sets and occasionally look at it when the media starts it all over again.

8. The final conclusion is that when it comes to Michael Jackson the media outlets evidently think themselves to be totally above Law. That is why they allowed themselves to freely spread Conrad Murray’s lies about the man he killed *after* Murray had already been convicted of a crime and this is why they allowed themselves to disseminate Daniel Kapon’s crazy ravings *after* the police had said that his story was completely bogus.

To me it is exactly the same as the opposite situation when the Law or authorities consider themselves *above* the media and also allow themselves to do whatever they like. Whichever type of imbalance it is, it is terrible and should be fought.

P.S.

I’ve just found out that **Rockforeveron**, who was the first to alert us to the News of the World big lie about Jackson, had to *buy* the NOTW article from their site as it was nowhere to be found.

Rockforeveron said:

I purchased the NOTW story from their own site because I couldn’t find it anywhere else.

Wasn’t it interesting how the original NOTW story was nowhere to be found? Stories from the 90s I can understand, but 2004 and most info was stored and spread via the internet, yet it isn’t to be

found anywhere. They had an online website back then where they published their stories, but I'd guess they deleted that story soon after, after the damage had been done.

... interestingly enough not a single mention of Daniel Kapon appears in their archives (or in the Sun archives) after that news story. So they only ever reported on the allegations and not on the conclusion. You'd imagine such a shocking story would've had some kind of follow up. Not a single mention of his name appears again after."

How very typical it is of Michael's haters! They hit and run, cleaning all dirty traces behind them, and prefer to never recall or reveal lies they once told about him.

P.P.S.

Don't worry about the News of the World lies about Michael Jackson. They can be still freely found on haters' sites. The link will not be provided for obvious reasons.

from → The MEDIA

31 Comments

1. **Мария (@mugvort)** PERMALINK

October 30, 2019 7:57 am

I've just stumbled upon this thing: <https://www.voiceamerica.com/episode/113706/michael-jackson-on-my-couch>

Apparently, Carol LIEberman host a podcast on March 5, 2019, named "Michael Jackson on My Couch", "in the wake of the new documentary, Leaving Neverland" – as a part of a promotional campaign for LN, I guess.

She boasts in the episode description, that she is "the psychiatric consultant to his classic biography" (classic for tabloid writers, may be), "the media's go-to expert on everything Jackson" (for certain members of the media, probably) and "the provocateur of the investigation into child sexual abuse that led to his trial" (the trial that led to his full acquittal).

Ugh, what a shameless people!

2. **Vindicatemj (Helena)** PERMALINK*

February 8, 2019 4:09 pm

Daniel Kapon's case reminded me of today's situation with Robson and Safechuck. The post about him was made 7 years ago, so I myself forgot about it. But now that I've read it again it struck me as the exact replica of what R&S are doing today.

I think everyone should get familiar with Kapon's allegations which included among other things "anal rape" and the like. And he also got so "emotional" when making a tape for the police that he asked to stop filming.

Besides that Kapon was able to produce innumerable "details" of the alleged molestation. And it was also a psychiatrist who "helped" Kapon to retrieve all those memories. And the more therapy he underwent the more details he "remembered".

The special beauty of that case is that the police found that KAPON NEVER MET MICHAEL JACKSON AT ALL. He was brainwashed by his "psycho" mother who was also able to provide numerous "details" about the so-called abuse. Fortunately Kapon also had a father with whom the boy lived right at the time of alleged molestation and who testified that his son *never* met Michael Jackson.

Why doesn't Dan Reed find Daniel Kapon and his mother and turn his 'documentary' into a serial? We should suggest that he does! It will make a perfect "Leaving Neverland 2".

3. Daniela PERMALINK

January 6, 2012 11:14 pm

"...and when I learned from one article that the civil trial was to be televised and imagined what a show it would have been with all those horrendous lies told for months or even longer, this final factor settled the matter, at least for me. If I had been in Michael's place I would have paid any money just to make this nightmare go away. I would have paid not even insurance money, but my own (if I had had it)."

I'm also shocked. I did not know about this. I agree with everything you said.

Michael had no choice.

Thanks for the information. You do not need a post, ok?

4. vindicatemj PERMALINK*

January 6, 2012 10:40 pm

"Can you explain that to us? Maybe you can make a post ..."

Daniela, it is very simple:

- the Chandlers filed a *civil* suit while the police were carrying out their criminal investigation
- a civil suit is about getting *money* from the defendant, and not sending him to jail
- but Michael's lawyer Bert Fields wanted the *criminal* proceedings to go before the civil case
- this means that there could have been a *criminal trial* after which Michael could theoretically go to prison
- and nevertheless it was *Michael* who insisted on criminal proceedings going first!
- up to the end of November 1993 there was a fight between Michael's lawyer (supporting criminal proceedings first) and the Chandlers and their lawyer Larry Feldman (supporting the civil suit first). Larry Feldman won, the judge decided in his favor - civil suit first, criminal case (if any) later.
- civil cases last for years and Michael was in the midst of the Dangerous tour and other projects
- his losses for those years could have been tremendous in addition to legal expenses
- he would have had to be present and testify, as taking the 5th amendment right in a *civil* case is frowned upon and considered a sign of guilt:

Invoking these amendments is regarded in civil law as a detriment to the defendant's case. For example, "if a party invokes their fifth amendment privilege against self-incrimination, then the judge will instruct the jury that they may make an adverse inference against the party who refused to testify..."

<http://www.rbs2.com/cc.htm>

- even if he were acquitted of "molestation" at the civil trial, they would have found what to penalize him for - "negligence", "moral damage", etc.

– so it was money whichever way you looked at it – loss of profit for Michael and legal expenses for several years and some penalty as a result of it *anyway*, OR paying the settlement sum *at once* (and by the insurance company too) and getting on with his life

– and when I learned from one article that the civil trial was to be **televised** and imagined what a show it would have been with all those horrendous lies told for months or even longer, this final factor settled the matter, at least for me. If I had been in Michael's place I would have paid *any* money just to make this nightmare go away. I would have paid not even insurance money, but my own (if I had had it).

Here is the article which says that by settling Michael avoided the danger of the civil suit shown to the whole nation on TV:

Now, those immediate problems have been lifted, and he will avoid the spectacle of a nationally televised civil trial probing the most intimate aspects of his personal life.

http://articles.latimes.com/1994-01-26/news/mn-15478_1_michael-jackson

It was the first time I heard about it and it was a complete shock to me. If you think I need to make a post about it, I will.

Let me also note that the criminal investigation went on for more than half a year after the settlement. The settlement did not preclude the Chandlers from testifying but none of them wanted it – they didn't want it from the very start of it.

If someone believes there was a “secret clause” which didn't allow them to speak about the case, it is a lie refuted by life itself – look at the example of June Chandler who freely testified at the 2005 trial.

Two grand juries looked into everything the Prosecution presented them with, but they didn't find a reason for MJ's indictment. The case was closed with Sneddon fuming and hoping for new victims to come forward. He even changed law which prolonged the period within which the case could be revived but within that period all they managed to scrape were the Arvizo con artists.

End of story.

5. Daniela PERMALINK

January 6, 2012 9:42 pm

I would like you to do a post about J. Randy Taraborrelli. I do not believe this man and I feel deeply offended by him saying he was a friend and confidant of Michael Jackson.

This man is an opportunist, a liar.

He is a friend of Diane Dimond and Carole Lieberman, and it is impossible for a person to be friends with Michael Jackson and Diane Dimond at the same time ..

His picture is on Diane Dimond's friends on Facebook. I have the picture.

This man is married?

He has a family?

This man should write a book about his life and leave Michael Jackson alone.

The true friend of Michael Jackson's Adrian Grant and Ebony / Jet magazines.

<http://michaeljackson-taraborrelli.blogspot.com/>

6. Daniela PERMALINK

January 6, 2012 9:08 pm

“Given that Jordan Chandler filed a civil suit against Jackson and it was also planned to be televised as I’ve recently found out, now I think that Michael’s lawyers were right when they convinced him to settle instead of going through the many years of this televised nightmare which would have ended in a money penalty anyway. This conclusion though does not make Carl Douglas (the associate of Johnny Cochran who recently spoke at the Frozen in time seminar) any better than the dirty rogue he is.”

Can you explain that to us? Maybe you can make a post ...

7. **kaarin22** PERMALINK

January 4, 2012 10:57 pm

I would be very interested in reading Daniel Kapon’s essay;Oedipus Rex.

It is supposedly on the net, but I could not find it. That would give you some idea of his intelligence and possibly his personality too. Of course this is just theory, but I don’t think he was this scared miserable young thing. My suspicion is that that was part of a planned act and that he really was a nasty SOB. And having lived close to Michael’s Encino home he must have been aware of Michael’s legal situation.

8. **vindicatemj** PERMALINK*

January 4, 2012 10:43 pm

“http://www.newsoftheworld.co.uk/ website taken down last year as a result of extensive corruption re hacking. Did try it grab as much as I could but there was so much on MJ couldn’t work back to 2004/5 in time. Sadly.”

Deborah, it is a pity none of us copied their lies about MJ – we could have surely fished out something else there. But we also have an indirect source – quotations from the NOTW in other media outlets. Historians use this method when the original is lost and this is how they reconstruct the events of the past. So if this is done about the events centuries back, why can’t we do the same regarding the events some 20 years ago?

However the problem is there – haters spread their lies freely only when they were sure that no one was looking. Now they see that we are being serious, so we can expect valuable things to disappear.

That is why I request every Michael’s advocate to *copy* the most outrageous lies about MJ to their computers or even make screen shots of them, so that one day we could make their anthology and the media couldn’t say “they never said it”.

Who could expect haters’ lies to become so valuable one day?

9. **Deborah** PERMALINK

January 4, 2012 10:09 pm

http://www.newsoftheworld.co.uk/ website taken down last year as a result of extensive corruption re hacking. Did try it grab as much as I could but there was so much on MJ couldn’t work back to 2004/5 in time. Sadly.

10. **vindicatemj** PERMALINK*

January 4, 2012 10:06 pm

“As you wrote they had to fill up with some garbage from September 04 to March 05 when the trial was on hold. And of course NOWT was always ready to fulfill this kind of job. Not a surprise if NOWT caused the tragedies like Milly Dowler.”

MOA, I think the prosecution desperately needed *numbers* for their MJ case – other “victims” who would come in to support Arvizos’ allegations. So that publication could very well be a sort of a “social order” from Tom Sneddon for the News of the World to spread lies about “another victim” among the public. The tape the NOTW refers to seems to be a police video, so it looks like an instance of collaboration between the authorities and the media.

As far as I know in the phone-hacking scandal the NOTW also cooperated with some police officials, so it is nothing new.

It is no wonder that this particular article is missing from the NOTW archives – it is too incriminating. Rockforeveron even had to purchase it from them to get hold of it.

11. [kaarin22](#) [PERMALINK](#)

January 4, 2012 9:48 pm

VMJ, check your e-mail. Lynette knows more about drug addiction. I have experience with their offspring.

12. [vindicatemj](#) [PERMALINK*](#)

January 4, 2012 9:39 pm

“I understand your point, but how people in the media know what Jordan said if they didn’t read the affidavit. I’d like to know where those pinky blotches come from.”

The media could learn about it only from the first interviews Jordan gave to the police which were leaked to the press.

Now it is no longer important in which document the so-called Jordan’s description was – it is important to fix what *everyone said* about it following those police leaks. And everyone cited Jordan claiming that Michael was circumcised and had a light splotch on his penis “which was the color of his face”.

I had to return to this point for another reason. Haters now dispute my *interpretation* of these Jordan’s words. They claim Jordan was speaking of a *light* background (with a dark spot on it):

Quote from haters:

“Chandler gave them a roadmap to Jackson’s below-the-waist geography, which, he said, includes distinctive “splotches” on his buttocks and one on his penis, “which is a light color similar to the color of his face.”

A simple mistake for fans looking for something (anything!) to exonerate Jackson – it is the penis “which is a light color similar to the color of his face.”, not the “splotches”. Fans are confused by simple sentence structure. That this even needs to be mentioned highlights the tiresomeness in general of responding to fans’ erroneous assertions and assumptions.”

This is a serious statement and that is why I had to look for other descriptions dating to the same period. Silberman’s description, based on what was incessantly repeated in the media at the time and speaking about “blotchy-pink patches”, proved that my interpretation was correct – in Jordan’s opinion, it was the *splotch* which was light and not the penis.

Jordan had NEVER seen it and thought that it was *dark*.

And the photos showed it was *light* and carrying one dark spot, as Tom Sneddon said in his statement. And this was the second reason why the pictures and the photos did not match (the first one was non-circumcision).

P.S. I apologize to Michael and his fans for discussing all these incredible things – but this is a necessity. In fact I'll have to return to this problem and make a new post about it, though I don't want to.

13. vindicatemj PERMALINK*

January 4, 2012 8:10 pm

"In my work with adolescents I have had the misfortune of getting a few ones, who on top of personality disorders, and Psychotic disorder NOS (not otherwise defined) also were adherents of satanism and read satanic literature, which you could not forbid them to do even on an in-patient unit. 2 females conspired against a male 15 yo pt of mine and he attempted to commit suicide. That is the only time I got really angry and had one of the pair sent to another hospital. In the MJ case the professional people should have asked for the plaintiff to undergo a forensic psych. examination."

Kaarin, I am very much impressed to read about your work with adolescents. Since you are working in a hospital like our Lynette, your opinion about Michael's accusers may be very valuable. Are you working with psychiatric cases?

14. shelly PERMALINK

January 4, 2012 7:45 pm

I understand your point, but how people in the media know what Jordan said if they didn't read the affidavit. I'd like to know where those pinky blotches come from.

15. vindicatemj PERMALINK*

January 4, 2012 7:40 pm

"Yes, but did Seth Clerk Silberman saw the Linden affidavit? He just said what was in the media 15 years ago."

Shelly, I don't give a damn whether he saw or didn't see the Linden affidavit. If he is repeating what the media said 15 years ago *this is just what we need*.

No one in the media or the public saw the Linden affidavit either, but everyone repeated like puppets in 1993 that Jordan claimed Michael was "circumcised and had a light splotch which was the color of his face".

15 years have passed and the media is thoroughly deleting every trace of this information from their archives now – which enables this guy Silberman to start amending the initial version of Jordan's words into a "non-circumcision" variant.

He has not changed the blotches yet only because he did not know they were of importance too.

It is quite enough for us to know *what the media said at the time* and that we understood it correctly – he said the *blotch* was light, and not the background for it, while in reality it was the opposite.

16. kaarin22 PERMALINK

January 4, 2012 5:02 pm

My point with my post below is that Kapon with the backup of his messed up friends wanted to cause as much evil as possible. They used MJ and his legal situation as launching pad. Believe me there are people who want to bring about evil just for their satisfaction of doing it, to confirm their

own existence so to speak. It is sick and criminal.

17. MOA PERMALINK

January 4, 2012 4:09 pm

Good work VMJ! As you wrote they had to fill up with some garbage from September 04 to March 05 when the trial was on hold. And of course NOWT was always ready to fulfill this kind of job. Not a surprise if NOWT caused the tragedies like Milly Dowler.

18. shelly PERMALINK

January 4, 2012 4:07 pm

Yes, but did Seth Clerk Silberman saw the Linden affidavit? He just said what was in the media 15 years ago.

19. vindicatemj PERMALINK*

January 4, 2012 1:14 pm

"By the way those haters who say I misinterpreted Jordan's description of "a light splotch which was the color of his face" had better shut up – I've found another source which proves that my interpretation of it was absolutely correct." can you pleas post that source"

Maral, of course I will. But this matter needs an extensive comment, that is why I wanted to do it in a future post.

It is a site of one gay activist, a lecturer on "gay and lesbian studies" at Yale University, where he arranged "the first academic conference on Michael Jackson" in September 23-24, 2004. His name is Seth Clerk Silberman and he claims he is very knowledgeable as regards Michael.

But this person has an agenda of his own and he is definitely at one with Victor Gutierrez. He extensively quotes him and even corrects him on some issues.

Gutierrez said in his book written in the 90s that Jordan claimed Michael was *circumcised*. For some reason it is not in the interests of Seth Clerk Silberman to repeat the actual words of Gutierrez and now, in the year 2006, he says that Jordan described Michael as *not* circumcised. Thus Silberman is trying to *rewrite history*.

But Seth Clerk Silberman does not know yet that there was *another* inconsistency in Jordan's statement – in the *color* of the penis described. And since he does not know it, he repeats the well-publicized media version of Jordan's words and speaks about "blotchy-pink" or *light* patches on the dark background.

And as we know in his statement of May 2005 Tom Sneddon mentioned a *dark* spot which could be found on the light background only.

Thus Silberman, repeating the original Jordan's version, speaks of a "brown cow with a pink patch" while Tom Sneddon, based on the photos, speaks of a "light cow with a brown patch".

The fact that Silberman is correcting Gutierrez in the "circumcision" part should not confuse us in any way. On the contrary, it shows the intentions of this "lecturer at Yale University" – he is very much intent on proving that Michael was a ped-le, only he does not understand that in the process of it he provides us with additional proof about those "light splotches" from Jordan's original statement AND unwittingly discloses his own agenda too.

And it seems that the agenda of *some* gay activists (Silberman, Gutierrez, James Kincaid, Richard Green, William A. Percy) is to prove at all costs that Michael was a p-le which he actually never was.

A quote from Silberman:

*"Gathered in the room were Los Angeles Assistant District Attorney Lauren Weiss, Court Secretary Patty Watson, and Detective Rosibel Ferrufino. They listened to Jordan Chandler, accompanied now by notoriously aggressive lawyer Gloria Allred. On this Wednesday, September 1, 1993, Jordan repeated his testimony. Two weeks earlier, Jordan had told Detective Ferrufino more than he had anyone. He said Michael used his hands and his mouth. **Jordan described Michael's penis, "not circumcised" with "blotchy-pink" patches like a cow.**"*

Journalist Victor Gutierrez relates what Jordan told a close friend three years later: "I tried to tell the therapist and the authorities that Michael never made me do anything I didn't want to but the authorities had told me that Michael had seduced me by buying toys and expensive presents. ... I am 16 years old and I know what has happened. I think people are bothered by homosexual relationships but I'm not a homosexual. I just feel an attraction toward Michael and I had sex with him."

Another interesting fact is that simultaneously Silberman provides numerous proof that Michael was not gay, for example:

*"On Wednesday, September 5, 1984, Frank Dileo and Norman Winter held a press conference at a West Hollywood sound studio. Norman introduced "what may be an unprecedented move." He read first: "Superstar Michael Jackson today instructed [us] to 'once and for all set the record straight.'" Michael's publicist explained that "the potential risk of reacting to ... unfounded rumors" could no longer silence him. Then, Michael's manager steps to the podium to read his client's response. Once done, he retreats to a control booth. Reporters belt, "Hold on! Frank, come on! Why isn't Michael here himself? What's going on, really?" Norman explains that Michael's upset by reports of his alleged homosexuality: **"The fact that they say he's gay is completely ridiculous. If little girls want to grow up and marry Michael, now they know they've got a chance."** Norman's comments referred to hundreds of fan letters begging Michael to come out, heterosexually. Michael's statement never mentions homosexuality directly. He starts with, "No! I've never taken hormones to maintain my high voice." He denies he's surgically altered either his eyes or cheekbones. There's no mention of his nose. Finally, he proclaims, **"I plan to get married and have a family. Any statements to the contrary are simply untrue."***

*Five years earlier, Michael confronted a reporter himself: **"I am not homo. Not at all. ... I'm not going to have a nervous breakdown because people think I like having sex with men. I don't and that's that. If I let this get to me, it will only show how cheap I am. I'm sure I must have fans who are gay, and I don't mind that. That's their life and this is mine. You can print that."** Then, he seemed genuinely dumbfounded that anyone would believe the rumor: "Is it my voice? Is it because I have this soft voice? All of us in the family have soft voices." Michael's vocal coach Seth Riggs, who started with him in 1978, came to his employer's defense before the news conference. Seth's support sounded like a talking point: "We're all fed up with the lies and nonsense about this boy we love so much. Michael is different. ... He's not of this world." When Seth debunked the hormone rumors, he let slip just how mundanely Michael speaks. "He isn't naturally falsetto," he resolved. "His voice is as low as yours or mine. Natural, male, husky. But he doesn't like it. He calls it his 'frog' voice, so for personal reasons, after I had taught him to reach that level and maintain it, he decided to stick with it. But it's just a technique-a trick."*

Or here:

"The elders were already disturbed by his "Thriller" video and suggestive dancing. They threatened to disfellowship him if he didn't destroy it. Panicked, Michael sought his lawyer John Branca's counsel. John knew the video's commercial appeal and suggested a disclaimer. Before the video started, there now appeared this message: "Due to my personal convictions, I wish to stress that this film in no way endorses a belief in the occult-Michael Jackson." On set, the star was equally inspired to assert his interest in women. His video girlfriend Ola Ray remembered Michael talking a lot about Brooke Shields between takes. Then, when "a gay guy and his friend came onto the set," Michael dismissed them. "Look at him, he's got his boyfriend with him."

<http://thisdaymichael.blogspot.com/>

20. kaarin22 [PERMALINK](#)

January 4, 2012 4:23 am

What struck me was that he was the "Headlyncher" for "The Messed Up Friends Club". He, Kapon should have been checked for drugs. esp. for past or present LSD use. But he was not necessarily a druguser. In my work with adolescents I have had the misfortune of getting a few ones, who on top of personality disorders, and Psychotic disorder NOS (not otherwise defined) also were adherents of satanism and read satanic literature, which you could not forbid them to do even on an in-patient unit. 2 females conspired against a male 15 yo pt of mine and he attempted to commit suicide. That is the only time I got really angry and had one of the pair sent to another hospital.

In the MJ case the professional people should have asked for the plaintiff to undergo a forensic psych. examination. You can handle a "satanist" on a 1 to 1 but it is not possible if they have the support of co-believers. Is it possible that he and his satanic friends did not make that video themselves? Where is it today?

It is a shame that professional people are so gullible to anything Michael that their logical thinking is impaired.

21. Maral [PERMALINK](#)

January 4, 2012 3:51 am

"By the way those haters who say I misinterpreted Jordan's description of "a light splotch which was the color of his face" had better shut up – I've found another source which proves that my interpretation of it was absolutely correct." can you pleas post that source

22. vindicatemj [PERMALINK*](#)

January 3, 2012 11:00 pm

"That's crazy, so even when they knew these allegations were false, that the investigation was over, they were still willing to purchase and report on the story and make it seem like it was still in the process of being worked through?"

Yes, that's the point. This can be done only **intentionally**.

"I think with the videotape confession thing it seems like Kapon made a videotape of him confessing this and passed it on to NOTW and the police videotape seems separate, where they had to tape and interview him for their own records. At least that's how I can only plausibly imagine it."

At first I also thought that way, but the Contactmusic article suggests a different idea. The information they add makes you think that they either had access to the tape too or had contacts with the New of the World staff. Otherwise how would Contactmusic know that in the video Daniel Kapon was talking to the *police*?

Quote: **“While giving evidence on camera for Santa Barbara, California police to study, Daniel said: ...”**

It is impossible to imagine that Daniel Kapon had a chance to record the policemen on his private video! And the article also clearly says that it was the Santa Barbara and not Los Angeles police.

The MTV article says that the video was made a month and a half before the News of the World publication, which was the time after the Santa Barbara authorities had finished their investigation and when the Los Angeles police started theirs.

So it looks very much like it was a police video, and made in Santa Barbara too. Everything fits in.

“I purchased the NOTW story from their own site because I couldn't find it anywhere else, interestingly enough not a single mention of Daniel Kapon appears in their archives (or in the Sun archives) after that news story. So they only ever reported on the allegations and not on the conclusion.”

Rockforeveron, I didn't know you had to purchase it! This was absolutely great of you. After you posted it in the comments I made a search for it in the web and found it in Hideout.com forum – but with the exception of that source it is indeed nowhere to be found.

It shows the pattern these liars use – they hit and run, wiping away all dirty traces behind them and pretending they had nothing to do with it.

Well, well, well – so this will be our second case of a “missing” article miraculously retrieved from nowhere. The first one was the Smoking Gun article which mentioned the initial description by Jordan Chandler of Michael's genitalia, but when it turned out to be wrong the article vanished too.

Who could ever think there would be so much mystery in unravelling the truth about Michael Jackson? The whole thing is a complete thriller.

P.S. By the way those haters who say I misinterpreted Jordan's description of “a light splotch which was the color of his face” had better shut up – I've found another source which proves that my interpretation of it was absolutely correct.

23. **shelly** [PERMALINK](#)

January 3, 2012 6:00 pm

I think it's say a lot about the US justice system. MJ was cleared of that allegation by the LAPD, by Zonen and Sneddon and yet the case went for 2 years in front of a civil jury. What kind of system is that?. The level of evidence has to be very low.

24. **rockforeveron** [PERMALINK](#)

January 3, 2012 8:41 am

Thanks! I'm hoping to move alllll the info from the old one to the new one... eventually. 😊

Like you guys I was having a lot of problem with the site load pages because there was too much info, so shifting it to my own domain where I could mess about with the specifications of everything seemed to be the only way to solve it.

Wasn't it interesting how the original NOTW story was nowhere to be found? Stories from the 90s I can understand, but 2004 and most info was stored and spread via the internet, yet it isn't to be found anywhere. They had an online website back then where they published their stories, but I'd

guess they deleted that story soon after, after the damage had been done.

25. **Tanja PERMALINK**

January 3, 2012 8:30 am

Everytime I read such articles I don't know whether I want to cry, to shake my head or to laugh. This time I've decided to laugh because this is so stupid that it is just impossible for me to do anything else. The part with Fidel Castro really made my day.

Of course it is shocking too. But it really does not surprise me anymore. I've learned that it is easy to spread any lie about Michael and everyone just believes it. No matter how stupid and insane the story is. The only thing that matters is to attack Michael.

But I just can't help myself. This accusations just make me laugh.

26. **Deborah PERMALINK**

January 3, 2012 7:19 am

Excellent post and HYN Helena. The story was a bunk from the start, but yet again no prizes for guessing why NOTW had no qualms running it.

27. **sanemjfan PERMALINK**

January 3, 2012 5:41 am

@Rockforeveron

That is a great analysis! Glad that you took the initiative to buy that article, although I'm sorry you had to waste your money on it! This truly shows how low these disgusting tabloids will go for money!

By the way, I added your new blog Rhythm of the Tide to the blogroll. Are you still gonna update your old site Lacienda Smiled? Or are you transferring all of your info to the new site?

<http://rhythmofthetide.com/>

28. **rockforeveron PERMALINK**

January 3, 2012 5:28 am

*Not on the conclusion – obviously because it had already been concluded, but you'd imagine such a shocking story would've had some kind of follow up. Not a single mention of his name appears again after.

29. **rockforeveron PERMALINK**

January 3, 2012 5:27 am

That's crazy, so even when they knew these allegations were false, that the investigation was over, they were still willing to purchase and report on the story and make it seem like it was still in the process of being worked through?

I think with the videotape confession thing it seems like Kapon made a videotape of him confessing this and passed it on to NOTW and the police videotape seems separate, where they had to tape and interview him for their own records. At least that's how I can only plausibly imagine it.

I purchased the NOTW story from their own site because I couldn't find it anywhere else, interestingly enough not a single mention of Daniel Kapon appears in their archives (or in the Sun archives) after that news story. So they only ever reported on the allegations and not on the conclusion.

Trackbacks

1. Глория Олред и ее роль в деле Джексона — Michael Jackson is INNOCENT
2. The High Price Of Child MolestationMichael Jackson Facts: Rhythm Of The Tide

Comments are closed.