

JUDGE’S ROADMAP (OVERVIEW)

This bundle demonstrates that the evidential record is incomplete, fragmented across jurisdictions, and potentially missing central material. The Court is asked to determine whether it can safely proceed on this basis, or whether intervention is required to prevent irreversible prejudice.

ANNEX A – MASTER EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description	Purpose
E1	Antigua Claim Form	Parallel proceedings
E4	US Appellate Order	Evidence disruption
E13	Device Seizure	Evidence absence
E15	Medical Report	Vulnerability
V1	Ackman/UMG	Financial scale
V3	Antigua ruling	Jurisdiction
V4	NXIVM	Criminal precedent

ANNEX B – EVIDENTIARY PATHWAYS

Record Integrity: E5 → E6 → E8

Effect: Record may be unstable

Evidence Absence: E13 → E4

Effect: Evidence not before Court

Fragmentation: E1 → E2 → E3

Effect: No unified record

Fairness: E15 → E17

Effect: Heightened risk

Context: V1 → V3 → V9

Effect: Complex environment

FINAL SYNTHESIS

These evidentiary pathways demonstrate not a conclusion, but a structural risk: that relevant and potentially decisive material exists outside the record presently before the Court. If the Court does not intervene now, it may later be impossible to undo the prejudice.