

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

## KING'S BENCH DIVISION

Claim No: KB-2025-001991

Appeal No: CA-2025-002562

### **BETWEEN:**

**ALKIVIADES DAVID**

Appellant / Applicant

and

**MAHIM KHAN & OTHERS**

Respondents

### **APPELLANT'S CONSOLIDATED SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMISSION (Record Integrity, Technology Origin, Licensing Authority, and Enforcement Safety)**

**Dated:** 10 April 2026

#### **A. INTRODUCTION**

This submission is narrow and procedural. It addresses whether enforcement can safely proceed on a materially incomplete evidentiary record. The Appellant submits that it cannot.

#### **B. CORE ISSUE**

Whether enforcement may proceed where the record does not adequately reflect the origin of technology, continuity of exploitation, and licensing authority.

#### **C. EVIDENTIARY POSITION**

The Appellant was the originator of commercially deployed hologram systems and operated a central venue in Beverly Hills for industry deployment and participation.

The technology was commercially exploited in high-value environments, including major award events, and later continued through overlapping personnel and successor systems.

## **D. LEGAL POSITION**

The application is confined to procedural safety. Enforcement should not proceed where the evidentiary foundation remains incomplete and unstable.

## **E. RELIEF SOUGHT**

Continuation of protection against enforcement; confirmation enforcement should not proceed; directions to clarify the record; liberty to rely on supplemental materials.

## **STATEMENT OF TRUTH**

I believe that the facts stated in this submission are true.

/s/ Alkiviades David  
Dated: 10 April 2026